Rebutted to Arg in Favor of Prop. 2

No On Proposition 2 Rebuttal

VOTE NO on Proposition 2 because it HURTS California families.

Thousands of jobs will be lost and egg prices could skyrocket for California consumers.

A UC Davis study says Proposition 2 will eliminate California-produced safe, fresh, affordable eggs. We'll end up buying eggs trucked in from thousands of miles away, including Mexico.

VOTE NO on Proposition 2 because it ENDANGERS both food safety <u>and</u> animal welfare.

Leading food safety, veterinary and public health experts oppose Proposition 2. They know modern housing systems for egg-laying hens are safe, sound and humane for the hens, and they protect human health.

These modern systems are designed for proper care and treatment, providing ample space, food, water, light and sanitation, allowing hens to stand, stretch, turn around and lie down. Hens are protected from migratory birds and wild animals (which can carry BIRD FLU), and from living in -- and laying eggs in -- their own waste, which can contain *Salmonella* bacteria.

By effectively banning modern housing, Prop. 2 actually harms egg-laying hens, undermines animal welfare, endangers food safety and risks public health.

VOTE NO on Proposition 2 because it's RISKY.

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION 2

Proponents say this measure is "moderate," but it's really EXTREME, ignoring science-based food safety and animal welfare guidelines while endangering the health of California families.

Proponents say the measure deals with animal treatment, but they don't tell you California law has long required humane treatment of animals, and still does.

PLEASE VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION 2. Keep California food SAFE.

Dean Cliver, Professor Emeritus of Food Safety, University of California at Davis, School of Veterinary Medicine

Mike Karle, DVM, President, Association of California Veterinarians

Hector Cervantes, DVM, President, American College of Poultry Veterinarians